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Policies and Committee Considerations

Reference Number: CTAS-1795
In planning the county’s financial future, various policies and committees are often established to advise the legislative body and the executive branch. Review the following comments on policy, committees and planning considerations.

Fixed Asset Policy

Reference Number: CTAS-1796
Due to the Governmental Accounting Standard Board statement 34 all counties should have a Fixed Asset Policy. This policy will give guidance in formulating a Capital Improvement Plan by identifying classifications of capital assets.

Capital Improvement Plan/Budget

Reference Number: CTAS-1797
The Capital Improvement Plan should identify the current assets in use, their anticipated replacement date and any anticipated needed or planned future assets. As this plan is classified by asset and year, then an estimated replacement and purchase cost can be assigned to each asset. Related revenue can then be identified to acquire the replacement or new asset. If the revenue assigned is notes or bond proceeds, then this cost is considered a part of the Debt Management Plan.

See Reasons for a Capital Improvement Plan/Budget under Capital Budgets.

Fund Balance Policy

Reference Number: CTAS-1798
A Fund Balance Policy will assist in the long-term stability of the government finances by establishing an ending fund balance goal of each respective accounting fund. For instance, the Highway Fund is typically made up of state revenues that are transmitted monthly to the county. Thus with this constant consistent revenue stream, the Highway Department has monthly operating cash that often matches monthly operating expenditures. In this example, the Highway Fund may require only an extra month fund balance, or 1/12 of the annual budget could be the fund balance goal. In the case of the General Fund, which is predominately supported by property tax collected mainly in December and February, (a full six and eight months into the fiscal year) a larger fund balance would be needed to support operations until the revenue is received.

In establishing a Fund Balance Policy for the Debt Service Fund, we often recommend that a county have 50 percent to 150 percent of its current appropriation budget. The fund balance is higher in the Debt Service Fund for a number of reasons:

1. Reflects a conservative debt policy to debt rating agencies; therefore, more stability in financial operations and hopefully better interest rate opportunities.
2. Allows for the idle funds to be invested at a steady revenue stream of interest earning. Often interest earnings are annual revenues to the debt service funds.
3. Allows more flexibility in structuring future debt payments by allowing the fund balance to increase and decrease over time to smooth out the debt service tax levy.

You would generally see a county’s debt service fund balance begin to increase in anticipation of future capital project and debt, and decrease as existing debt is paid off.

Debt Management Policy

Reference Number: CTAS-1799

Legal Requirements:

"Pursuant to TCA Section 9-21-151(b)(1), the State Funding Board is authorized to develop model financial transaction policies for the State, State Agencies, local governments and local government instrumentalities. The State Funding Board on December 15, 2010, adopted a statement on debt management that reflects three principles for strong financial management in the public sector:

1. Understand the transaction
2. Explain to citizens what is being considered
3. Avoid conflicts of interest
4. Disclose costs and risks

State and local governments and government entities that borrow money are directed to draft their own debt management policies by January 1, 2012, using this model policy as a guideline.\[1\]

Objectives:
The following objectives meet the minimum statutory requirements:

1. Make the decision process transparent
2. Address hiring outside professionals
3. Address any potential conflict of interest issues

Minimum Language Required

1. Transparency
The Entity shall comply with legal requirements for notice and for public meetings related to debt issuance. In the interest of transparency, all costs (including interest, issuance, continuing, and one-time) shall be disclosed to the citizens/members, governing body, and other stakeholders in a timely manner.

(The method for disclosure of costs and other information, including documentation of compliance with the policy, shall be developed and outlined in the policy.)

2. Professionals
   a. The Entity shall require all professionals engaged in the process of issuing debt to clearly disclose all compensation and consideration received related to services provided in the debt issuance process by both the Entity and the lender or conduit issuer, if any. This includes “soft” costs or compensations in lieu of direct payments.
   b. Counsel: The Entity shall enter into an engagement letter agreement with each lawyer or law firm representing the Entity in a debt transaction. (No engagement letter is required for any lawyer who is an employee of the Entity or lawyer or law firm which is under a general appointment or contract to serve as counsel to the Entity. The Entity does not need an engagement letter with counsel not representing the Entity, such as underwriters’ counsel.)
   c. Financial Advisor: If the Entity chooses to hire financial advisors, the Entity shall enter into a written agreement with each person or firm serving as financial advisor for debt management and transactions.
   d. Whether in a competitive or negotiated sale, the financial advisor shall not be permitted to bid on, privately place or underwrite an issue for which they are or have been providing advisory services for the issuance.
   e. Underwriter: If there is an underwriter, the Entity shall require the underwriter to clearly identify itself in writing (e.g., in a response to a request for proposals or in promotional materials provided to an issuer) as an underwriter and not as a financial advisor from the earliest stages of its relationship with the Entity with respect to that issue. The underwriter must clarify its primary role as a purchaser of securities in an arm’s-length commercial transaction and that it has financial and other interests that differ from those of the Entity. The underwriter in a publicly offered, negotiated sale shall be required to provide pricing information both as to interest rates and to takedown per maturity to the governing body (or its designated official) in advance of the pricing of the debt.

3. Conflicts
   a. Professionals involved in a debt transaction hired or compensated by the Entity shall be required to disclose to the Entity existing client and business relationships between and among the professionals to a transaction (including but not limited to financial advisor, swap advisor, bond counsel, swap counsel, trustee, paying agent, underwriter, counterparty, and remarketing agent), as well as conduit issuers, sponsoring organizations and program administrators. This disclosure shall include that information reasonably sufficient to allow the Entity to appreciate the significance of the relationships.
   b. Professionals who become involved in the debt transaction as a result of a bid submitted in a widely and publicly advertised competitive sale conducted using an industry standard, electronic bidding platform are not subject to this disclosure. No disclosure is required that would violate any rule or regulation of professional conduct.

   A county may adopt the minimum language as their debt policy.
Capital Projects Committee

Reference Number: CTAS-1800
A Capital Projects Committee assists in developing the Capital Project Plan/Budget and policies. See Basic Steps for Establishing a Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) and Policy Considerations under the Capital Budgets topic for additional information on developing a Capital Project/Budget or Capital Improvements Plan.

Debt Committee

Reference Number: CTAS-1801
The Debt Committee assists in developing the debt service program and fund balance policies, along with helping the county understand all aspect of its debt. The committee should make recommendations on when to issue debt and what type of debt to issue. This committee should have an in-depth understanding of the existing Debt Service Funds, the current outstanding debt instruments and the multi-year debt service budget. This committee should work with the county mayor/executive and finance department in the overall Debt Management Program, and follow the committee charge outlined in the Debt Policy

Recommended Practice: Establish committees to assist in debt management activities
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